

EGU24-9583, updated on 04 Jan 2025 https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu24-9583 EGU General Assembly 2024 © Author(s) 2025. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## Disentangling national carbon fluxes of African rainforest countries.

**William WM Verbiest**<sup>1</sup>, Corneille EN Ewango<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Remy Makana<sup>3</sup>, Simon Lewis<sup>4,5</sup>, Marijn Bauters<sup>6</sup>, Jean-François Bastin<sup>7</sup>, Adeline Fayolle<sup>7</sup>, Anaïs-Pasiphae Gorel<sup>7</sup>, and Wannes Hubau<sup>1,8</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Environment, Laboratory of Wood Technology (Woodlab), Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium. <sup>2</sup>Faculté de Gestion de Ressources Naturelles Renouvelables, Université de Kisangani, Kisangani, Democratic Republic of Congo.

<sup>3</sup>Faculté des Sciences, Laboratoire d'Écologie et Aménagement Forestier, Université de Kisangani, Kisangani, Democratic Republic of Congo.

<sup>4</sup>School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Geography, University College London, London, United Kingdom.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Environment, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

<sup>7</sup>TERRA Teaching and Research Centre (Forest is Life), Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liege, Passage des Déportés n°2, 5030 Gembloux, Belgium

<sup>8</sup>Service of Wood Biology, Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium.

African tropical ecosystems possess great potential for nature-based solutions in mitigating fossil fuel emissions through absorbing and storing carbon in soil and vegetation. However, past studies mostly focused on pan-continental carbon balance quantification, often ignoring regional differences. Remarkably, few science-informed attempts have been made to refine carbon flux estimates at the national level within African rainforest countries. Yet, such refined estimates are essential to improve the quantification of Nationally Determined Contributions for the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

In this contribution, we present preliminary results on quantifying national carbon budgets for African rainforest countries by disentangling three major carbon fluxes for the period 2001-2015: (1) net carbon uptake in tropical savannas, woodlands, and forests, (2) carbon losses from land-use change, and (3) fossil fuel emissions. Carbon fluxes in intact forests are quantified using ground-based data<sup>1</sup>, while the carbon uptake by intact savannas and woodlands is based on Net Primary Productivity assessments estimated from remote sensing products<sup>2,3</sup>. Furthermore, carbon emissions from land-use change are estimated by analyzing various satellite images and related products providing data on land-use change<sup>4-6</sup>, soil and tree carbon stocks<sup>7-12</sup>, fire emissions <sup>3,13,14</sup>, and carbon recovery in regrowing forests<sup>15-18</sup> in tropical Africa. Country-level fossil fuel emissions are taken from the Global Carbon Project database<sup>19</sup> to complete the national carbon balances.

We reveal that most Central and East African rainforest countries acted as net carbon sinks between 2001 and 2015, while West African rainforest countries exhibited minimal net carbon loss. Overall, tropical ecosystems have played an important role in mitigating carbon emissions due to land-use change and fossil fuels in African rainforest countries, particularly in Congo Basin countries. Our insights into nation-level carbon fluxes will be crucial for informing African rainforest countries, guiding climate policies to stay on track to keep global warming well below 2°C.

References:

- 1. Hubau, W. et al. Nature 579, 80-87 (2020).
- 2. Running, S.W. et al. BioScience 54, 547-560 (2004).
- 3. Randerson, J.T. *et al.* (2018).
- 4. Hansen, M.C. et al. Science (1979) 342, 846-850 (2013).
- 5. Vancutsem, C. et al. Sci Adv 7, eabe1603 (2021).
- 6. Curtis, P.G. et al. Science (1979) 361, 1108-1111 (2018).
- 7. Simard, M. et al. Nat Geosci 12, 40-45 (2019).
- 8. Avitabile, V. et al. Glob Chang Biol 22, 1406-1420 (2016).

9. Zarin, D.J. et al. Glob Chang Biol 22, 1336-1347 (2016).

- 10. Saatchi, S.S. et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108, 9899–9904 (2011).
- 11. Baccini, A. et al. Nat Clim Chang 2, 182–185 (2012).
- 12. Poggio, L. et al. SOIL 7, 217–240 (2021).
- 13. Van Wees, D. et al. Geosci Model Dev 15, 8411-8437 (2022).
- 14. Di Giuseppe, F. et al. Atmos Chem Phys 18, 5359–5370 (2018).
- 15. Heinrich, V.H.A. et al. Nature 615, 436-442 (2023).
- 16. Deklerck, V. et al. Biol Conserv 233, 118-130 (2019).
- 17. Cook-Patton, S.C. et al. Nature 585, 545-550 (2020).
- 18. IPCC. **6** (2006).
- 19. Friedlingstein, P. et al. 14, 4811-4900 (2022).